

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/690,258	10/17/2000	Ossi I. Grohn	4015-750	3670		
24112 7	590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER			
COATS & BENNETT, PLLC			ODLAND,	ODLAND, DAVID E		
P O BOX 5 RALEIGH, N	C 27602	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
11.22.01., 1.0 2,002			2662			
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
9					<i>,</i> , , , ,			
Office Action Summary		09/690,2		GROHN ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
The MAIL INC	DATE - 646 in	David O		2662				
Period for Reply	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC	ation appears on th	ie cover sneet with	the correspondence ad	aress			
THE MAILING DAT  Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specified for reply specified for reply six specified for reply within the Any reply received by the		ATION.  '37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enication.  days, a reply within the statory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a rep autory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONTI plication to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely HS from the mailing date of this co NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)☐ Responsive to	communication(s) filed	on						
2a) ☐ This action is	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	o)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
<u>'</u>	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-33	is/are pending in the ap	plication						
	ve claim(s) is/are	-	onsideration.					
_	<u>0 and 12-29</u> is/are allow							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,11</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,11 and 30-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	_ are subject to restricti	on and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specificati	on is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may r	ot request that any objecti	ion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement d	rawing sheet(s) including ti	he correction is requi	red if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or de	claration is objected to I	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119							
a) All b) So	ent is made of a claim forme * c) None of: I copies of the priority do to copies of the priority do of the certified copies of tion from the International d detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appents have been re le 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National s	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References C	ted (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sur					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure</li> </ul>	Patent Drawing Review (PTC	D-948) TO(SR/08)		Mail Date  brmal Patent Application (PTO)	-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2		. 0.00.00)	6) Other:		. 42,			

Application/Control Number: 09/690,258

Art Unit: 2662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9 and 11 recite "... a synchronous differential transmission..." in line 5. It is unclear what is meant by 'a synchronous differential transmission'.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 30,31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nyberg et al. (GB 2323827), hereafter referred to as Nyberg, in view of Oliver et al. (USPN 3,924,082), hereafter referred to as Oliver.

Referring to claims 30 and 31, Nyberg discloses a method of providing timing information to a plurality of radio heads connected to a central unit of a wireless communications

Application/Control Number: 09/690,258

Art Unit: 2662

system (an Air Frame Synchronization (AFS) signal is transmitted to a plurality of radio transceivers (see figure 1 and page 2)), comprising transferring data between the central unit and a first radio head via a first set of conductors of a first cable (a central unit sends data to each radio transceiver (see figure 1)), said first radio head having a wireless radio transceiver (the radio transceiver (see figure 1)), transferring data between the central unit and a second radio head via a first set of conductors of a second cable, said second radio head having a wireless radio transceiver (data is send to another radio transceiver over another cable (see figure 1)), sending timing data from said central unit to said first radio head via a second set of conductors of said first cable (the AFS is send to the radio transceivers (see figure 1)), sending timing data from said central unit to said second radio head via a second set of conductors of said second cable (the AFS is send over another cable to another radio transceiver (see figure 1)), wherein the timing of radio signal transmissions by said wireless radio transceiver of said first radio head depends on said timing data supplied to said first radio head via said second set of conductors of said first cable (the AFS is used to coordinate the timing of the each radio transceivers (see page 1 and 2 and figure 1)), and wherein the timing of radio signal transmissions by said wireless radio transceiver of said second radio head depends on said timing data supplied to said second radio head via said second set of conductors of said second cable (the AFS is used to coordinate the timing of the each radio transceivers (see page 1 and 2 and figure 1)). Although, Nyberg discloses sending the data and timing in a single cable using a Time Division multiplexed (TDM) format, Nyberg does not disclose that that timing and data are send through different conductors of the same cable. However, Oliver discloses a system wherein various time slots (i.e. channels) of a TDM signal are transported over separate conductors (i.e. wires) (see

Application/Control Number: 09/690,258

Art Unit: 2662

column 4 lines 19-25 and figure 2)). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to implement the Nyberg system with this feature because transmitting signals in parallel over separate wires will allow the system to operate faster. Note, regarding claim 31, Oliver discloses 8 conductors associated with the TDM signal (see item 22 of figure 2).

Referring to claim 33, Nyberg discloses the system discussed above. Nyberg does not disclose that the data transferred over the cabling in transferred using the Ethernet protocol. However, Ethernet is a well-know and widely used standardized protocol. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time of the invention to implement the Ethernet protocol in the Nyberg system because it would allow the Nyberg system to conform to an already existing standard.

2. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nyberg in view of Oliver and further in view of Kirmse (USPN 6,262,993), hereafter referred to as Kirmse.

Referring to claim 32, Nyberg discloses the system discussed above. Nyberg does not disclose that the cables are unshielded twisted pair cables. However, Kirmse discloses a system wherein unshielded twisted pair is used for transferring data (see column 5 lines 19-46). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to implement the cable of Nyberg with UTP cable, as disclosed in Kirmse, because as Kirmse points out in column 5 lines 22 and 23, UTP is commonly installed and cast saving cabling.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-8,10 and 12-29 are allowed.

Page 5

Conclusion

4. The following prior art, which is made of record and not relied upon, is considered

pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

a. U.S. Patent Number 6628968 to Grohn.

b. U.S. Patent Number 5636213 to Eastmond et al.

c. U.S. Patent Number 5119402 to Ginzburg et al

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Odland whose telephone number is (571) 272-3096. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached at (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

deo

September 5, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600